

CASE NO.: 50R4628
Serial No.: 09/839,059
March 25, 2004
Page 6

PATENT
Filed: April 20, 2001

Remarks

Reconsideration of the above-captioned application is respectfully requested. Claims 1, 4-14, and 17-26 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 as being anticipated by Bernard, and Claims 2, 3, 15, 16, 27, and 28 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Bernard.

To overcome the rejections, Claim 1 now recites the subject of canceled Claim 7, namely, a directional antenna. This limitation has been rejected in the Office Action allegedly because Bernard teaches a directional antenna, but Applicant believes the rejection is mistaken. Nowhere does Bernard mention the word "directional" much less teach or suggest that its antenna be directional.

Independent Claim 13 now recites that the transceiver operate in a range of 2300mHz-2310mHz. This limitation has been previously rejected on the ground that such a range is "well-known". Should this rejection be persisted in, Applicant hereby seasonably requests (1) a prior art showing that the claimed range is "well known", MPEP §2144.03, and (2) a *prior art* suggestion to use such a range in a PDA cradle transceiver, MPEP §2143 *et seq.*

Independent Claim 22 now requires displaying an icon representing the cradle on the computer, as disclosed in the specification on page 8, first paragraph. Nowhere does Bernard mention the word "icon", much less does it teach or suggest Claim 22 as now amended.

The Examiner is cordially invited to telephone the undersigned at (619) 338-8075 for any reason which would advance the instant application to allowance.

1168-21.AMD

CASE NO.: 50R4628
Serial No.: 09/839,059
March 25, 2004
Page 7

PATENT
Filed: April 20, 2001

Respectfully submitted,



John L. Rogitz
Registration No. 33,549
Attorney of Record
750 B Street, Suite 3120
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: (619) 338-8075

JLR:jg

1108-21.AMD